

Pontryagin calculus in Riemannian geometry

François Dubois ¹, Danielle Fortuné ²,
Juan Antonio Rojas Quintero ³, Claude Vallée

Geometric Science of Information - GSI 2015
Palaiseau (France), Friday 30 october 2015

¹ Department of Mathematics, University Paris Sud, Orsay, France,
CNAM Paris, Structural Mechanics and Coupled Systems Laboratory.

² 21, rue du Hameau du Cherpe, 86280 Saint Benoît, France.

³ School of Mechanics and Engineering, Southwest Jiaotong University,
Chengdu, China.

Claude Vallée (1945 - 2014)

Thèse 3ème cycle (1972) : “Sur l’axiomatique de la thermodynamique de R. Giles et la concavité de l’entropie” supervised by Bernard Nayroles.

Thèse d’Etat (1987) : “Lois de comportement des milieux continus dissipatifs compatibles avec la physique relativiste” supervised by Jean-Marie Souriau (1922 - 2012)

Professor at Poitiers University (Mechanics department)

Leader of the “Colloque International de Théories Variationnelles” (1996 - 2011)

Member of the scientific committee of the GSI conference

Claude Vallée (1945 - 2014)



Claude Vallée at CITV, Aix en Provence, 28 august 2012.

Outlook

Introduction

- 1) Pontryagin framework for differential equations
- 2) Pontryagin hamiltonian
- 3) Riemannian metric for robotics applications
- 4) Optimal dynamics
- 5) Intrinsic evolution of the generalized force

Conclusion

Introduction

Dynamics of articulated systems

The choice of the Lagrangian is directly linked
to the conservation of energy.

Euler-Lagrange methodology applied to the
kinetic and potential energies.

System of second order ordinary differential equations
for the motion.

These equations are identical to those deduced
from the fundamental principle of dynamics.

Introduction (ii)

Configuration parameters:

their choice does not affect the energy value.

The kinetic energy is a positive definite quadratic form with respect to the configuration parameters derivatives. Its coefficients are ideal candidates to define and create a Riemannian metric structure on the configuration space.

The Euler-Lagrange equations have a contravariant tensorial nature and highlight the covariant derivatives with respect to time with the introduction of the Christoffel symbols.

Control of articulated robot

How to choose a Hamiltonian and a cost function ?

Here the presence of the Riemann structure is sound.

It enables a cost function invariant when coordinates change.

References

M. Lazrak and C. Vallée. “Commande de robots en temps minimal”, *Revue d’Automatique et de Productique Appliquées (RAPA)*, volume 8, issue 2-3, p. 217-222, 1995.

J.A. Rojas Quintero. *Contribution à la manipulation dextre dynamique pour les aspects conceptuels et de commande en ligne optimale*, Thesis Poitiers University, 31 October 2013.

J.A. Rojas Quintero, C. Vallée, J.P. Gazeau, P. Seguin, M. Arsicault. “An alternative to Pontryagin’s principle for the optimal control of jointed arm robots”, Congrès Français de Mécanique, Bordeaux, 26 - 30 August 2013.

C. Vallée, J.A. Rojas Quintero, D. Fortuné, J.P. Gazeau. “Covariant formulation of optimal control of jointed arm robots: an alternative to Pontryagin’s principle”,
arXiv:1305.6517, 28 May 2013.

Pontryagin framework for differential equations

- Dynamical system : state vector $y(t; \lambda(\bullet))$

System controlled by a set of variables $\lambda(t)$

First order ordinary differential equation:

$$(1) \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = f(y(t), \lambda(t), t).$$

Initial condition: $y(0; \lambda(\bullet)) = x$.

Optimal solution : minimize the following cost function J :

$$J(\lambda(\bullet)) \equiv \int_0^T g(y(t), \lambda(t), t) dt.$$

- Pontryagin's main idea : consider the differential equation (1) as a **constraint** satisfied by the variable y .

Lagrange multiplier $p = p(t)$ associated to the constraint (1).

This new variable is a **covariant** vector function of time

Global Lagrangian functional :

$$\mathcal{L}(y, \lambda, p) \equiv \int_0^T g(y, \lambda, t) dt + \int_0^T p(t) \left(\frac{dy}{dt} - f(y, \lambda, t) \right) dt.$$

Adjoint equations

- Proposition 1.**

If the Lagrange multiplier $p(t)$ satisfies the **adjoint equations**,

$$\frac{dp}{dt} + p \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} = 0$$

and the **final condition** : $p(T) = 0$,

the variation δJ of the cost function

for a given variation $\delta\lambda$ of the parameter

is given by the relation

$$\delta J = \int_0^T \left[\frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda} - p \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} \right] \delta\lambda(t) dt.$$

At the optimum this variation is identically null :

Pontryagin optimality condition: $\frac{\partial g}{\partial \lambda} - p \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda} = 0.$

Pontryagin hamiltonian

- Hamiltonian : $\mathcal{H}(p, y, \lambda) \equiv p f - g$
 Optimal Hamiltonian $H(p, y) \equiv \mathcal{H}(p, y, \lambda^*)$
 for $\lambda(t) = \lambda^*(t)$ equal to the optimal value
 associated to the optimal condition $J(\lambda^*) \leq J(\lambda) \quad \forall \lambda$

- Proposition 2.** Symplectic form of the dynamic equations.
 the “forward” differential equation

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = f(y(t), \lambda(t), t).$$

and the “backward” adjoint differential equation

$$\frac{dp}{dt} + p \frac{\partial f}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} = 0$$

take the symplectic form:

$$\frac{dy}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}, \quad \frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial y}.$$

Riemannian metric

- Dynamical system

parameterized by a finite number of functions $q^j(t)$

Set of all states Q : $q \equiv \{q^j\}$

The **kinetic energy** K is a **positive definite quadratic form** of the time derivatives \dot{q}^j for each state $q \in Q$.

The coefficients of this quadratic form define a **mass tensor** $M(q)$.

The mass tensor is composed by a nonlinear regular function of the state $q \in Q$.

It contains the mechanical characteristics of mass and inertia of the articulated system.

- We have
$$K(q, \dot{q}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k\ell} M_{k\ell}(q) \dot{q}^k \dot{q}^\ell.$$

Riemannian metric (ii)

- The mass tensor $M(q)$ is **symmetric and positive definite** for each state q . Consider the **Riemannian metric g** defined by the **mass tensor M** .
(Lazrak and Vallée (1995), Siebert (2012))

We set: $g_{kl}(q) \equiv M_{kl}(q)$.

- Riemannian manifold** structure for the space of states Q
classical geometrical tools of Riemannian geometry:

Covariant space derivation $\partial_j \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial q^j}$

Contravariant space derivation $\partial^j: \langle \partial^j, \partial_k \rangle = \delta_k^j$

Component j, ℓ of the inverse mass tensor M^{-1} : $M^{j\ell}$,

$$M_{ij} M^{j\ell} = \delta_i^\ell$$

Riemannian metric (iii)

- Connection $\Gamma_{ik}^j = \frac{1}{2} M^{j\ell} (\partial_i M_{\ell k} + \partial_k M_{\ell i} - \partial_\ell M_{ik}),$
 $\Gamma_{ki}^j = \Gamma_{ik}^j,$
 $d\partial_j = \Gamma_{jk}^\ell dq^k \partial_\ell, \quad d\partial^j = -\Gamma_{k\ell}^j dq^k \partial^\ell,$

Relations between covariant components φ_j
 and contravariant components φ^k of a vector field:

$$\varphi_j = M_{jk} \varphi^k, \quad \varphi^k = M^{kj} \varphi_j$$

Covariant derivation of a **vector field** $\varphi \equiv \varphi^j \partial_j$:

$$d\varphi = (\partial_\ell \varphi^j + \Gamma_{\ell k}^j \varphi^k) dq^\ell \partial_j$$

Covariant derivation of a **covector field** $\varphi \equiv \varphi_\ell \partial^\ell$:

$$d\varphi = (\partial_k \varphi_\ell - \Gamma_{k\ell}^j \varphi_j) dq^k \partial^\ell$$

Riemannian metric (iv)

- Ricci identities

$$\partial_j M_{kl} = \Gamma_{jk}^p M_{lp} + \Gamma_{jl}^p M_{kp}$$

$$\partial_j M^{kl} = -\Gamma_{jp}^k M^{pl} - \Gamma_{jp}^l M^{pk}$$

Gradient of a scalar field: $dV = \partial_\ell V dq^\ell = \langle \nabla V, dq^j \partial_j \rangle$
 $\nabla V = \partial_\ell V \partial^\ell$

Gradient of a covector field $\varphi = \varphi_\ell \partial^\ell$:

$$d\varphi \equiv \langle \nabla \varphi, dq^j \partial_j \rangle \quad \nabla \varphi = (\partial_k \varphi_\ell - \Gamma_{kl}^j \varphi_j) \partial^k \partial^\ell$$

Second order gradient of a scalar field V : $\nabla^2 V = \nabla(\nabla V)$

$$\nabla^2 V = (\partial_k \partial_\ell V - \Gamma_{kl}^j \partial_j V) \partial^k \partial^\ell$$

- Components R_{ikl}^j of the Riemann tensor:

$$R_{ikl}^j \equiv \partial_\ell \Gamma_{ik}^j - \partial_k \Gamma_{il}^j + \Gamma_{ik}^p \Gamma_{pl}^j - \Gamma_{il}^p \Gamma_{pk}^j$$

Anti-symmetry of the Riemann tensor: $R_{ikl}^j = -R_{ilk}^j$.

Riemannian form of the Euler-Lagrange equations

- **Proposition 3.**

In the presence of an external potential $V = V(q)$, the Lagrangian $L(q, \dot{q}) = K(q, \dot{q}) - V(q)$ allows to write the equations of motion in the classical Euler-Lagrange form:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i} \right) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial q^i}$$

These equations take also the Riemannian form:

$$M_{kl} (\ddot{q}^l + \Gamma_{ij}^l \dot{q}^i \dot{q}^j) + \partial_k V = 0 \quad .$$

- Equations of motion when a mechanical forcing **control** u is present (forces and torques typically):

$$\ddot{q}^j + \Gamma_{kl}^j \dot{q}^k \dot{q}^l + M^{jl} \partial_l V = u^j \quad .$$

with the **contravariant components** of the control u in the right hand side.

Optimal dynamics

- Cost function

The space of states Q has a natural Riemannian structure.

Intrinsic and invariant cost function

non sensible to the change of coordinates.

$$(2) \quad J(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T M_{kl}(q) u^k u^l dt.$$

(Rojas Quintero's thesis, 2013)

- The controlled system $\ddot{q}^j + \Gamma_{kl}^j \dot{q}^k \dot{q}^l + M^{j\ell} \partial_\ell V = u^j$
with the cost function (2) is of type

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = f(Y(t), \lambda(t), t), \quad J(\lambda(\bullet)) \equiv \int_0^T g(Y(t), \lambda(t), t) dt$$

with

$$Y = \{q^j, \dot{q}^j\}, \quad f = \{Y_2^j, -\Gamma_{kl}^j \dot{q}^k \dot{q}^l - M^{j\ell} \partial_\ell V + u^j\},$$

$$\lambda = \{u^k\}, \quad g = \frac{1}{2} M_{kl}(Y_1) u^k u^l.$$

Optimal dynamics (ii)

- Lagrange multipliers of the Pontryagin method :

$$P = \{p_j, \xi_j\}$$

- Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}(Y, P, \lambda)$
function of state Y , adjoint P
and control variable $\lambda = \{u^k\}$

We have: $\mathcal{H}(Y, P, \lambda) =$

$$p_j \dot{q}^j + \xi_j \left[-\Gamma_{kl}^j \dot{q}^k \dot{q}^\ell - M^{j\ell} \partial_\ell V + u^j \right] - \frac{1}{2} M_{kl}(Y_1) u^k u^\ell.$$

- **Proposition 4.** Interpretation of one adjoint state.

When the cost function $J(u) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T M_{kl}(q) u^k u^\ell dt$
is stationary, the **adjoint state** ξ^j is exactly equal to the
applied force (and torque!) u^j : $\xi^j = u^j$.

Intrinsic evolution of the generalized force

- Covector ξ : $\xi = \xi_j \partial^j$.

We have the following result:

- **Proposition 5.**

Covariant evolution equation of the optimal force.

With the above notations and hypotheses,

the forces and torques u satisfy the following time evolution:

$$\left(\frac{d^2 u}{dt^2}\right)_j + R_{k\ell j}^i \dot{q}^k \dot{q}^\ell u_i + (\nabla_{jk}^2 V) u^k = 0 .$$

(Rojas Quintero's thesis, and Vallée *et al.*, 2013).

Conclusion

The **control optimization of a robotic system** shows how important is the introduction of an **appropriate geometric structure**.

Riemannian geometry favors the metric associated to the **kinetic energy**.

The systems have a well-defined Riemannian tensorial nature:
contravariant for the equation of motion
covariant for the equation of the control variables.

Mechanical interpretation of the Pontryagin's adjoint states.

Second order covariant derivatives and **Riemann curvature tensor** for the **equation of forces and torques**.

Numerically stable method when discretization is considered.

Future numerical developments: juggle between two coupled systems of second-order ordinary differential equations.

Thank you for your attention !

